



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,917	11/26/2003	Victor Manuel Villalobos		9832
7590 06/14/2005 VICTOR M. VILLALOBOS 2955 SUMMITOP COURT MARIETTA, GA 30066			EXAMINER	
			VU, STEPHEN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3636	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/723,917	VILLALOBOS, VICTOR MANUEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	. Stephen A. Vu	3636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO attute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1:	<u>1/26/03 & 4/9/04</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	· ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	<u> </u>	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur		t rappiyad			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified copies no	r received.			
Attachment(e)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \(\sum_\) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 6122005			

Application/Control Number: 10/723,917

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 202,401,403,504,503,508. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective

Art Unit: 3636

action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 8, line 15, it is unclear what applicant is meant by "electro-mechanical devices".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-2,7,9, and 11-12 are objected to because of the following informalities: the title of the invention should be removed from the claim limitation in claim 1, in claim 2, on line 3, "(back and forward)" should be changed since parentheses are reserved for structural element numbers, in claim 7, line 2, the phrase "able actuator" doesn't appear to be clear, in claim 7, line 4, "wich" appears to be a misspelling, claim 7 should be written as one complete phrase with only one period, and in claim 9, line 1, the phrase "able support" doesn't appear to be clear. For claims 11-12, please see the first comment regarding words or phrases in parentheses. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 14-17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 14-17 have not been further treated on the merits.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,917 Page 4

Art Unit: 3636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-4 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 recites the limitations "the two stop limits", "the back support", and "the reading position" in lines 2-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the bottom" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 18 recites the limitations "The mechanisms, the electrical or electronic controls" and "the electrical hardness", "the seat assembly", "the various positions", "the take-off position", "the resting position", "the upright reclaimable position" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor (#2,500,742).

Taylor shows a seating apparatus comprising a main seat frame (11), a back seat (15), a sub back seat (24), a seat pan, a footrest (16), and mechanisms and controls (37,40-42).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Matsumiya, Hanson et al, Garas, Weant et al, and Smith are cited as showing similar types of seating apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-6862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8:30 am to 7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Vu

June 12, 2005